

Problem 2.5.5

The rod is at rest in the inertial frame S' and thus moves with velocity v in S . The inverse transformation is given by

$$\Delta x = \gamma(\Delta x' + v\Delta t'), \quad (1)$$

$$\Delta t = \gamma(\Delta t' + v\Delta x'/c^2). \quad (2)$$

Since the length of an object is defined as difference of coordinates of the ends of the rod at simultaneity, we demand $\Delta t = 0$, i.e.

$$\Delta t' = -v\Delta x'/c^2. \quad (3)$$

Inserting this expression into Eq.(1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta x &= \gamma(\Delta x' - v^2\Delta x'/c^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{\gamma}\Delta x' \\ &= \frac{L^*}{\gamma}, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where we have used that the length of the rod in S' is its length at rest, i.e. L^* . If we denote Δx (the length of the rod in S) by L , the formula tells us that $L < L^*$, i. e. it appears shorter in S than in S' .

Problem 3.6.2

In order to calculate the components of $\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$, we need $F_{\mu\nu}$, that is given by

$$F_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\alpha}g_{\nu\beta}F^{\alpha\beta}. \quad (5)$$

Specifically, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F_{ij} &= g_{\mu i}g_{\nu j}F^{\mu\nu} \\ &= F^{ij}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{0i} &= g_{\mu 0}g_{\nu i}F^{\mu\nu} \\ &= -F^{0i}, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

This yields

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_x & E_y & E_z \\ -E_x & 0 & -B_z & B_y \\ -E_y & B_z & 0 & -B_x \\ -E_z & -B_y & B_x & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

We next need

$$\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}F_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (9)$$

For example

$$\begin{aligned} F^{\tilde{0}1} &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{01\alpha\beta}F_{\alpha\beta} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\epsilon^{0123}F_{23} - \epsilon^{0132}F_{32}\right) \\ &= F_{23}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

The dual tensor can then be written as

$$\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = \underline{\underline{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -B_x & -B_y & -B_z \\ B_x & 0 & E_z & -E_y \\ B_y & -E_z & 0 & E_x \\ B_z & E_y & -E_x & 0 \end{pmatrix}}} \quad (11)$$

Note the symmetry $\mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{B} \rightarrow -\mathbf{E}$. Maxwell's equations with no sources are invariant under this (duality) operation.

Using the matrices (8) and (11), we easily find

$$\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} = \underline{\underline{-4\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B}}}. \quad (12)$$

Both $F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}$ and $\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}$ are gauge invariant. The latter is a pseudoscalar under parity. Finally, we find

$$\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} = \underline{\underline{2(\mathbf{B}^2 - \mathbf{E}^2)}}. \quad (13)$$

This can be obtained by direct calculation or by using the duality between \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} .

Problem 3.6.4, part 1

The energy-momentum tensor is given by

$$\mathcal{T}_\nu^\mu = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \phi)}\partial_\nu \phi - \delta_\nu^\mu \mathcal{L}. \quad (14)$$

This yields

$$\mathcal{T}_\nu^\mu = \bar{\psi}i\gamma^\mu\partial_\nu\psi - \delta_\nu^\mu \mathcal{L}. \quad (15)$$

The energy density is given by $\mu = \nu = 0$ and reads

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_0^0 &= \bar{\psi}i\gamma^0\partial_0\psi - \mathcal{L} \\ &= \underline{\underline{-\bar{\psi}i\gamma^j\partial_j\psi + m\bar{\psi}\psi}}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

This can also be written as

$$\mathcal{T}_0^0 = \underline{\psi^\dagger [\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p} + \beta m] \psi}, \quad (17)$$

where we have used $\vec{p} = -i\nabla$, $\gamma^j = \beta\alpha_j$, $\beta = \gamma^0$, and $\bar{\psi} = \psi^\dagger\gamma^0$. This form is familiar.

The momentum density is given by \mathcal{T}_j^0 and reads

$$\mathcal{T}_j^0 = \underline{\bar{\psi} i \gamma^0 \partial_j \psi}. \quad (18)$$

NOTE that it is common to define the conserved momentum by raising the index $\mathcal{T}^{\nu 0}$. This changes sign when $\nu = j$ and so $\mathcal{T}^{j0} = -\bar{\psi} i \gamma^0 \partial^j \psi = \psi^\dagger p^j \psi$.

Current conservation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\mu \mathcal{T}_\nu^\mu &= \partial_\mu [\bar{\psi} i \gamma^\mu \partial_\nu \psi - \delta_\mu^\nu \mathcal{L}] \\ &= \partial_0 \mathcal{T}_0^0 + \partial_j \mathcal{T}_0^j, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where Eq. (15) yields

$$\mathcal{T}_0^j = \bar{\psi} i \gamma^j \partial_0 \psi. \quad (20)$$

This gives

$$\partial_\mu \mathcal{T}_0^\mu = i(\partial_j \bar{\psi}) \gamma^j \partial_0 \psi - i(\partial_0 \bar{\psi}) \gamma^j \partial_j \psi + m \partial_0 (\bar{\psi} \psi). \quad (21)$$

We rewrite this as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\mu \mathcal{T}_0^\mu &= i(\partial_j \bar{\psi}) \gamma^j \partial_0 \psi + m \bar{\psi} \partial_0 \psi + \partial_0 (\bar{\psi}) \gamma^0 (\partial_0 \psi) - i(\partial_0 \bar{\psi}) \gamma^j \partial_j \psi - \partial_0 (\bar{\psi}) \gamma^0 (\partial_0 \psi) + m(\partial_0 \bar{\psi}) \psi \\ &= \partial_0 \psi [(\partial_\mu \bar{\psi}) i \gamma^\mu + m \bar{\psi}] - \partial_0 \bar{\psi} [i \gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu \psi) - m \psi] \\ &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

since the terms are proportional to the Dirac equation for ψ and $\bar{\psi}$, respectively.