

We have

$$\langle \Omega | T \{ \varphi(x) \varphi(y) \} | \Omega \rangle$$

= Sum of all connected diagrams

$$= \text{diagram 1} + \text{diagram 2} + \text{diagram 3} + \dots$$

There is an infinite number of diagrams. While summing all of them is not possible, we can sum infinite subsets.

Example:

$$\text{diagram 1} + \text{diagram 2} + \text{diagram 3} + \dots$$

In this sum, the $O(\lambda^n)$ contribution is

$$\text{diagram with } n \text{ bubbles} \quad (n \text{ bubbles})$$

$$= 2^{-n} (-i\lambda)^n \int dz_1 \dots \int dz_n$$

$$D_F(x-z_1) D_F(z_1-z_1) D_F(z_1-z_2) D_F(z_2-z_2)$$

$$\dots D_F(z_{n-1}-z_n) D_F(z_n-z_n) D_F(z_n-y)$$

$$= \left(- \frac{i\lambda D_F(0)}{2} \right)^n \int dz_1 \dots \int dz_n$$

$$D_F(x-z_1) D_F(z_1-z_2) \dots D_F(z_{n-1}-z_n) D_F(z_n-y)$$

$$= \left(- \frac{i\lambda D_F(0)}{2} \right)^n \int dz_1 \dots \int dz_n$$

$$\cdot \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{i e^{-ip(x-z_1)}}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon}$$

$$\cdot \int \frac{d^4 p_1}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{i e^{-ip_1(z_1-z_2)}}{p_1^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\cdot \int \frac{d^4 p_{n-1}}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{i e^{-ip_{n-1}(z_{n-1}-z_n)}}{p_{n-1}^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon}$$

$$\cdot \int \frac{d^4 p_n}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{i e^{-ip_n(z_n-y)}}{p_n^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon}$$

Do the integrals successively in the following order :

$$z_n, p_n, z_{n-1}, p_{n-1}, \dots, z_1, p_1,$$

leaving at the end the integral over p .

Integrate over $z_n \Rightarrow (2\pi)^4 \delta(p_n - p_{n-1})$

Integrate over $p_n \Rightarrow$

$$\left(-\frac{i\lambda D_F(0)}{2}\right)^n \int dz_1 \dots \int dz_{n-1}$$

$$\int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{i e^{-ip(x-z_1)}}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon}$$

...

$$\int \frac{d^4 p_{n-2}}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{i e^{-ip_{n-2}(z_{n-2} - z_{n-1})}}{p_{n-2}^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon}$$

$$\cdot \int \frac{d^4 p_{n-1}}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{i^2 e^{-ip_{n-1}(z_{n-1} - y)}}{(p_{n-1}^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon)^2}$$

Continue like this ($n-1$ more z_i, p_i integr.)

$$\Rightarrow \left(-\frac{i\lambda D_F(0)}{2}\right)^n \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \left(\frac{i}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon}\right)^{n+1} e^{-ip(x-y)}$$

So the sum of this infinite subset of diagrams becomes

$$\int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \underbrace{\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{i\lambda D_F(0)}{2}\right)^n \left(\frac{i}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon}\right)^{n+1} \right]}_{\text{geometric series}} e^{-ip(x-y)}$$

The geometric series factor is

$$\frac{i}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\lambda D_F(0)/2}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} \right)^n$$

$$= \frac{i}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\lambda D_F(0)}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon}}$$

$$= \frac{i}{p^2 - \left(m^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} D_F(0) \right) + i\epsilon}$$

Let us denote the two-point function as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Omega | T \{ \varphi(x) \varphi(y) \} | \Omega \rangle &\equiv D_F(x-y)_{\text{int}} \\ &\equiv \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \tilde{D}_F(p)_{\text{int}} e^{-ip(x-y)} \end{aligned}$$

Thus sum the infinite sum

$$\text{---} \bullet \text{---} \bullet \text{---} + \text{---} \bullet \text{---} \bullet \text{---} \bullet \text{---} + \text{---} \bullet \text{---} \bullet \text{---} \bullet \text{---} \bullet \text{---} + \dots$$

gives the following approximate result for $\tilde{D}_F(p)_{\text{int}}$:

$$\tilde{D}_F(p)_{\text{int}} \approx \frac{i}{p^2 - (m^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} D_F(0)) + i\epsilon} \quad (*)$$

Recall that for the noninteracting (free) theory (i.e. $\lambda = 0$) we found earlier

$$D_F(x-y) = \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \tilde{D}_F(p) e^{-ip(x-y)}$$

$$\text{with } \tilde{D}_F(p) = \frac{i}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon}$$

The dispersion relation $E_{\vec{p}}^2 = \vec{p}^2 + m^2$ was obtained from the poles of $\tilde{D}_F(p)$. Let us now do the same for the interacting theory. From the poles of (*) we then get

$$E_{\vec{p}}^2 = \vec{p}^2 + \left(m^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} D_F(0)\right)$$

Due to the interactions, the poles have moved, changing the dispersion relation. We interpret the term $(m^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} D_F(0))$ as m_{phys}^2 , where m_{phys} is the physical mass of the particles. Thus m_{phys} is different from the mass parameter m in the Lagrangian, which therefore often is renamed as m_{bare} (or m_0).

Thus

$$m_{\text{phys}}^2 = m_{\text{bare}}^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} D_F(0)$$

up to corrections of $O(\lambda^2)$. Note that m_{bare} is not experimentally measurable, only the physical mass m_{phys} is. We say that quantum fluctuations, generated by the interactions, have "dressed" the "bare" quantity m_{bare} , turning it into the physical ("dressed") quantity m_{phys} .

Let us now look at the "mass correction" term $\frac{\lambda}{2} D_F(0)$:

$$D_F(0) = \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{i}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon}$$

see JDA, Eq. (5.73) $\Rightarrow$

$$= \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{p^2 + m^2}}$$

this is m_{bare} , but I continue to write m for simplicity

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \int_{-1}^1 d(\cos\theta) \int_0^\infty dp \, p^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{p^2 + m^2}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^\infty dp \frac{p^2}{\sqrt{p^2 + m^2}}$$

The integral diverges at large p ("ultraviolet divergence"). But we have no reason to trust

the theory at arbitrarily high momentum (energy) scales. Let us assume the theory is valid up to some momentum scale $\Lambda \gg m$. We regularize the integral by cutting it off at $p = \Lambda$. This gives our new, regularized version of $D_F(0)$:

$$D_F(0) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^\Lambda dp \frac{p^2}{\sqrt{p^2 + m^2}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \left[\Lambda \sqrt{\Lambda^2 + m^2} - m^2 \log \frac{\Lambda + \sqrt{\Lambda^2 + m^2}}{m} \right]$$

$$\stackrel{\Lambda \gg m}{=} \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \left[\Lambda^2 - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \left(\log \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2} - C \right) \right]$$

where C is an $O(1)$ constant. This gives

$$m_{\text{phys}}^2 = m_{\text{bare}}^2 + \frac{\lambda}{16\pi^2} \left[\Lambda^2 - \frac{1}{2} m_{\text{bare}}^2 \left(\log \frac{\Lambda^2}{m_{\text{bare}}^2} - C \right) \right]$$

Note that this relation between m_{phys} and m_{bare} depends on Λ . Since m_{phys} (which we can measure) cannot depend on our choice of Λ (which contains arbitrariness), the bare mass m_{bare} must depend on Λ in such a way that m_{phys} does not. Thus if we change Λ

we must also adjust m_{bare} to keep m_{phys} the same.

In general, all quantities in the Lagrangian density will depend on the cutoff Λ . For example, consider the Lagrangian density of quantum electrodynamics (QED), which describes the Dirac and EM fields and their coupling:

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi} [\gamma^\mu (i\partial_\mu - e_{\text{bare}} A_\mu) - m_{\text{bare}}] \psi - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$$

Here the bare charge and mass parameters e_{bare} and m_{bare} both depend on the cutoff Λ . ~~The~~ $e_{\text{bare}}(\Lambda)$ and $m_{\text{bare}}(\Lambda)$ are chosen s.t. theory and experiment agree for two chosen physical processes/quantities. Once one has determined $e_{\text{bare}}(\Lambda)$ and $m_{\text{bare}}(\Lambda)$ in this way, all other predictions of the theory (pertaining to physics on ^{energy} scales $\ll \Lambda$) are found to agree with experiment to very high accuracy.

Attempting a brief definition, one can say that the theory behind, and methods involved, in describing/analyzing the relationship between bare and dressed (physical) quantities, and how the former depend on the cutoff Λ , are referred to as renormalization.