TFY4210, Quantum theory of many-particle systems, 2013: Tutorial 6

1. The Holstein-Primakoff representation.

(a) Show that an alternative and equivalent form of the spin commutation relations

$$[S^x, S^y] = iS^z, \quad [S^y, S^z] = iS^x, \quad [S^z, S^x] = iS^y$$
(1)

is given by

$$[S^+, S^-] = 2S^z, \quad [S^z, S^{\pm}] = \pm S^{\pm}.$$
 (2)

(b) The Holstein-Primakoff (HP) representation is given by

$$S^+ = \sqrt{2S - a^\dagger a} a, \tag{3}$$

$$S^- = a^{\dagger} \sqrt{2S - a^{\dagger}a}, \tag{4}$$

$$S^z = S - a^{\dagger}a, \tag{5}$$

where a and a^{\dagger} are canonical boson operators. Show that the HP representation satisfies the correct spin commutation relations and the relation $\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{S} = S(S+1)$. NB! For this you should use the exact HP expressions; do NOT expand the square roots. Hint: Use that $[f(\hat{O}), g(\hat{O})] = 0$ for any operator \hat{O} and any functions f and g of that operator.

2. Ferromagnetic Heisenberg model with a spin anisotropy.

Consider spins on a two-dimensional square lattice with Hamiltonian

$$H = J \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \boldsymbol{S}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_j - D \sum_i (S_i^z)^2.$$
(6)

The sum in the first term is over all pairs of nearest-neighbour sites, and the sum in the second term is over all sites. The exchange constant J = -|J| < 0.

(a) Assuming that the parameter D > 0, argue that the spins will order along the +z or -z direction.

(b) Use spin-wave theory to calculate the ground state energy E_0 and the magnon dispersion ω_k .

(c) The energy gap is defined as $\Delta \equiv E_1 - E_0$ where E_1 is the energy of the lowest excited state. Give an expression for Δ .

(d) What do you predict about the ordering direction if D < 0, and why?

3. Physical picture of ferromagnetic spin waves

Consider a Heisenberg ferromagnet which has magnetic order with the magnetization vector pointing in the z direction. Let the state $|\mathbf{k}\rangle \equiv a_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}|0\rangle$ where $|0\rangle$ is the ferromagnetic ground state, i.e. the state $|\mathbf{k}\rangle$ contains one magnon with wavevector $\mathbf{k} \ (\neq 0)$. Let us define the transverse correlation function in the state $|\mathbf{k}\rangle$ as

$$\langle \boldsymbol{k} | \boldsymbol{S}_i^{\perp} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_j^{\perp} | \boldsymbol{k} \rangle,$$
 (7)

where the transverse spin operator S_i^{\perp} is the projection of the spin operator onto the xy plane:

$$\mathbf{S}_i^{\perp} = S_i^x \hat{x} + S_i^y \hat{y}. \tag{8}$$

Use the truncated HP representation to show that

$$\langle \boldsymbol{k} | \boldsymbol{S}_{i}^{\perp} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_{j}^{\perp} | \boldsymbol{k} \rangle = \frac{2S}{N} \cos[\boldsymbol{k} \cdot (\boldsymbol{r}_{i} - \boldsymbol{r}_{j})].$$
(9)

Thus on average each spin has a small transverse component (i.e. perpendicular to the direction of the magnetization) and the orientations of the transverse components of two spins i and j differ by an angle $\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j)$. This should hopefully make the origin of the terminology *spin wave* clearer.