Solution for week 11 exercises

Exercise 1

(a) The diagram has 2 vertices (internal points with 4 lines attached) and is therefore a 2nd order diagram.

(b) Labeling the left vertex as z_1 and the right vertex as z_2 , the Feynman rules give the following expression for the diagram:

$$\frac{(-i\lambda)^2}{S} \int d^4 z_1 \int d^4 z_2 \ D_F(x-z_1) [D_F(z_1-z_2)]^3 D_F(z_2-y). \tag{1}$$

(c) As discussed in the lectures, the perturbation expansion for the 2-point function is given by the sum of all connected diagrams. Expressions for these diagrams can be deduced from the numerator in the expression for the perturbation expansion, which reads

$$\langle 0|T\{\phi_I(x)\phi_I(y)\exp\left[-i\int dt H_I(t)\right]\}|0\rangle.$$
 (2)

As $H_I(t) \propto \lambda$, the term $\propto \lambda^2$ is given by the 2nd order term in the expansion of the exponential:

$$\frac{1}{2!} \langle 0|T\{\phi_I(x)\phi_I(y)(-i)^2 \int dt_1 \int dt_2 H_I(t_1)H_I(t_2)\}|0\rangle.$$
(3)

Inserting

$$H_I(t) = \frac{\lambda}{4!} \int d^3 z [\phi_I(t, \vec{z})]^4 \tag{4}$$

this can be written

$$\frac{1}{2!} \left(\frac{-i\lambda}{4!}\right)^2 \int d^4 z_1 \int d^4 z_2 \left\langle 0 | T\{\phi_I(x)\phi_I(y)\phi_I(z_1)\phi_I(z_1)\phi_I(z_1)\phi_I(z_1)\phi_I(z_2)\phi$$

where we defined $z_i^{\mu} = (t_i, \vec{z}_i)$ (i = 1, 2). From the structure of the diagram one sees that it should represent pairings of the following type: Pair $\phi_I(x)$ with one of the 4 fields at one of the vertices, and pair $\phi_I(y)$ with one of the 4 fields at the other vertex, and furthermore, pair the 3 remaining fields at one vertex with the 3 remaining fields at the other vertex. This gives a combinatorial factor

$$2 \cdot 4 \cdot 4 \cdot 3! \tag{6}$$

One factor of 4 comes from the 4 different ways to pair $\phi_I(x)$ with one of the 4 fields of a given vertex, and the other factor of 4 comes from the 4 different ways to pair $\phi_I(y)$ with one of the 4 fields at the other vertex. The factor of 2 comes from the fact that the "given vertex" could be either z_1 or z_2 (making the "other vertex" z_2 or z_1 , respectively), corresponding to the two possible ways of labeling the vertices in the diagram. The factor of 3! arises because this is the number of ways to pair the 3 remaining fields at one vertex with the 3 remaining fields at the other. Thus we get

$$\frac{1}{S} = \frac{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 4 \cdot 3!}{2!(4!)^2} = \frac{1}{6} \quad \Rightarrow \quad S = 6.$$
(7)

Exercise 2 (= Exercise 5.6.7)

1) For the Dirac Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}(i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} - m)\psi, \tag{8}$$

we found in the exercises for week 6 that the Hamiltonian density is

$$\mathcal{H} = -i\bar{\psi}\gamma^j\partial_j\psi + m\bar{\psi}\psi \tag{9}$$

and the 4-current is

$$j^{\mu} = \bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\psi.$$

$$j^{0} = \bar{\psi}\gamma^{0}\psi = \psi^{\dagger}\psi$$
(10)

and thus

This gives

$$\mathcal{K} \equiv \mathcal{H} - \mu j^0 = -i\bar{\psi}\gamma^j\partial_j\psi + m\bar{\psi}\psi - \mu\psi^{\dagger}\psi.$$
(11)

2) We interpret the quantity \mathcal{K} as the Hamiltonian density \mathcal{H}' associated with a Lagrangian density \mathcal{L}' :

$$\mathcal{H}' = \Pi'_{\psi} \partial_0 \psi - \mathcal{L}' \tag{12}$$

where

$$\Pi'_{\psi} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}'}{\partial (\partial_0 \psi)}.$$
(13)

We want to find \mathcal{L}' . Let us use the ansatz ("guess") that

$$\mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{L} + f(\bar{\psi}, \psi), \tag{14}$$

in particular, f is not a function of $\partial_0 \psi$ or $\partial_0 \bar{\psi}$. Thus

$$\Pi'_{\psi} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}'}{\partial(\partial_0 \psi)} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_0 \psi)} + \underbrace{\frac{\partial f}{\partial(\partial_0 \psi)}}_{=0} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_0 \psi)} = \Pi_{\psi}$$
(15)

(Note also that because neither f nor \mathcal{L} depend on $\partial_0 \bar{\psi}$, there is no term $(\partial_0 \bar{\psi}) \Pi'_{\bar{\psi}}$ on the rhs of (12).) This gives

$$f = \mathcal{L}' - \mathcal{L} = (\Pi'_{\psi}\partial_0\psi - \mathcal{H}') - (\Pi_{\psi}\partial_0\psi - \mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{H} - \mathcal{H}' = \mu j^0 = \mu \bar{\psi}\gamma^0\psi.$$
(16)

As the rhs is only a function of $\bar{\psi}$ and ψ , our ansatz was correct. Thus

$$\mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{L} + f = i\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\psi - m\bar{\psi}\psi + \mu\bar{\psi}\gamma^{0}\psi$$

$$= i\bar{\psi}\gamma^{0}(\partial_{0} - i\mu)\psi + i\bar{\psi}\gamma^{j}\partial_{j}\psi - m\bar{\psi}\psi.$$
(17)

We see that \mathcal{L}' can be obtained from \mathcal{L} by the replacement $\partial_0 \to \partial_0 - i\mu$, as claimed in the problem text.

3) The Lagrangian density for a complex scalar field is

$$\mathcal{L} = (\partial_{\mu}\Phi)^{*}(\partial^{\mu}\Phi) - m^{2}\Phi^{*}\Phi .$$
(18)

We have

$$\Pi_{\Phi} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_0 \Phi)} = \partial^0 \Phi^* ,$$

and similarly $\Pi_{\Phi^*} = \partial^0 \Phi$. The Hamiltonian density then becomes

$$\mathcal{H} = \Pi_{\Phi} \dot{\Phi} + \Pi_{\Phi^*} \dot{\Phi}^* - \mathcal{L}$$

= $2\Pi_{\Phi^*} \Pi_{\Phi} - \mathcal{L}$
= $\Pi_{\Phi^*} \Pi_{\Phi} + (\nabla \Phi)^* \cdot (\nabla \Phi) + m^2 \Phi^* \Phi .$ (19)

The conserved current is given by Eq. (3.42) in JOA's lecture notes (see also week 4 exercises):

$$j^{\mu} = i \left[\Phi \left(\partial^{\mu} \Phi \right)^{*} - \Phi^{*} \left(\partial^{\mu} \Phi \right) \right].$$
(20)

The quantity $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H} - \mu j^0$ is to be interpreted as a Hamiltonian-type density. Thus we must express j^0 in terms of Π_{Φ} and Π_{Φ}^* instead of in terms of the time derivatives of Φ and Φ^* . This gives

$$j^{0} = i \left[\Pi_{\Phi} \Phi - \Pi_{\Phi^{*}} \Phi^{*} \right] , \qquad (21)$$

and so

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H} - \mu j^0 = \Pi_{\Phi^*} \Pi_{\Phi} + (\nabla \Phi)^* \cdot (\nabla \Phi) + m^2 \Phi^* \Phi - \mu i \left[\Pi_{\Phi} \Phi - \Pi_{\Phi^*} \Phi^* \right].$$

We can construct the associated Lagrangian density \mathcal{L}' from

$$\mathcal{L}' = \Pi_{\Phi} \dot{\Phi} + \Pi_{\Phi^*} \dot{\Phi}^* - \mathcal{K} \tag{22}$$

where $\dot{\Phi}$ is given by Hamilton's equation

$$\dot{\Phi} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{K}}{\partial \Pi_{\Phi}} = \Pi_{\Phi^*} - i\mu\Phi .$$

$$\Pi_{\Phi^*} = (\partial_0 + i\mu)\Phi.$$
(23)

Thus

m Hamilton's equation
$$\dot{\Phi}^* = \partial \mathcal{K} / \partial \Pi_{\Phi^*}$$
 one obtains $\Pi_{\Phi} = (\partial_0 - i\mu) \Phi^*$. One

Similarly, from now uses these equations to eliminate Π_{Φ} and Π_{Φ^*} from the rhs of (22), as appropriate for a Lagrangian-type density. This gives

$$\mathcal{L}' = [(\partial_0 + i\mu)\Phi]^*[(\partial^0 + i\mu)\Phi] + (\partial_i\Phi)^*(\partial^i\Phi) - m^2\Phi^*\Phi.$$
(24)

Two comments:

- Note that if we had reversed the overall sign in the expression for j^{μ} in Eq. (20) (which would have been an equally good choice since the conservation law $\partial_{\mu}j^{\mu} = 0$ would still hold), the sign of the $i\mu$ terms in \mathcal{L}' would have been reversed as well. (Of course, the same thing is true for the problem of the Dirac field considered in 1) and 2)).
- Note that in this problem of a complex scalar field the difference $\mathcal{L}' \mathcal{L}$ is found to be not just a function of Φ and Φ^* , but also depends on their time derivatives. Thus an ansatz $\mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{L} + g(\Phi, \Phi^*)$ analogous to what we used for the Dirac field would have failed for this problem.